Tennessee Music Education Association CONCERT BAND AND ORCHESTRA Music Evaluation Form

Adjudicator (print)



DATE	ORDER OF APPEARANCE FESTIVAL / CONTES		EST LOCATION			
ENSEMBLE NAME – SCHOOL – CITY – STATE						
DIRECTOR	[DIVISION	CLASSIFICATION	ADJ STATUS	ENSEMBLE SIZE	
RATING	RATING AREAS OF REVIEW + Good - Needs Improvement Blank = OK SOUND QUALITY			COMMENTS		
	Tone Quality ☐ Characteristic (for this age group) ☐ Free of tension ☐ Vibrant – energy without harshness ◆ Control ☐ at all dynamics ☐ in all r Blend and Balance ◆ Blend ☐ Sectional ☐ Full E	Ensemble Ensemble				
	☐ Melodic passages passed between sections	s				
TECHNICAL ACCURACY						
	Technique ☐ Attacks ☐ Releases ☐ Precision of melodic and technical element ☐ Clarity of articulation ☐ Missed notes	ıts				
	Rhythm ☐ Accuracy ☐ Steady Pulse ☐ Tempi ☐ Proper Inflecti ☐ Precision of rhythmic figures	ion				
	MUSICALITY					
	Interpretation ☐ Correct style application including all mark ☐ Full Range of Dynamics ☐ Dynamic Subtleties ☐ Phrasing ☐ Carefully planned and exceptions					
	Musicianship □ Artistry □ Nuances □ Expressivity □ Sense of Ense	emble				
	PROFESSIONALISM Appropriate Perceptains		1			
	☐ Appropriate Repertoire ☐ Conductor / Ensemble Interaction ☐ Posture / Playing Position ☐ Confidence ☐ Appearance ☐ Stage Deportment					
ADJUDICATION SCALE – By Rating: I – Superior II – Excellent III – Good IV – Fair V – Needs Improvement Evaluators – The Final Rating is an average of the eight ratings. Scores of 1.5 round to II, etc. Do Not use plus (+) or minus (-)b in any rating. FINAL RATING						

Adjudicator (sign)